



## SmartGrowth Environment & Sustainability Forum

### School House, Historic Village, 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Tauranga

## Agenda

Tuesday 17<sup>th</sup> January 2017

1. Apologies	Chair
2. Minutes and Actions from the previous 01 November 2016 meeting <i>(Paper A)</i>	Chair
3. Notes from Workshop 1 Dec (Paper B) Previously circulated	To inform following discussion
4. Action update: Recommendation to TCC to continually update sea level rise (SRL) estimation to trigger a review of constraints mapping	Mary Dillion
5. Action update: Recommendation to BOP Regional Council; to enable early enhancement of constrained areas (ecological areas), for consideration in the RPS 2017/18	Jullian Fritter
6. Action update: Considering existing developments (i.e. The Lakes), 'what we don't like' for reference/influence in future sites.	Glen Crowther
7. Transport update Forum discussion	Jo Wills
8. Position Paper Response update <i>(Paper C)</i>	Karen Summerhays
9. General Business	Chair

## PAPER A

### Environment and Sustainability Forum

Tuesday 1<sup>st</sup> November 2016, 1.30-3.30pm

The Balcony Room, Historic Village, 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue

<p><b>Present</b></p>	<p>Jo Wills (Chair/Sustainability Options), Karen Summerhays (SmartGrowth), Kate Akers (Toi Ohomai Institute of Technology), Steph MacDonald (BOPRC), Glenn Ayo (WBOPDC), Eddie Orsulich (Aongatete Forest Restoration Trust), Barry Roberts (Federated Famers), Michelle Adams (Toi Te Ora Public Health), Michelle Elborn (TCC), Paul Hickson (Surf Break Protection Society), John Garwood (Fruit Growers Association), Julian Fitter (Maketu Ongatoro Wetland Society), Kair Lippiatt (Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ), James Hughes (The Sustainability Society), Glen Crowther (Sustainable Business Network), Mary Dillon (Envirohub), Megan Rumble (SmartGrowth/Minutes) Lawrie Donald?</p>	
<p><b>Apologies</b></p>	<p>Ian Mclean, Peter Mackay, James Hughes (Lateness), Julie Midson</p>	
<p>Previous minutes and matters arising</p>		<p>The minutes of the September meeting were accepted as a true and correct record  <b>Moved</b> Kate Akers, <b>Seconded</b> Eddie Orsulich</p> <p><b>Previous actions:</b>            Action 1: Jo to approach NZTA – ongoing            Action 2, 3 and 4: Complete            Action 5: Jo spoke with Bill Wasley around the concerns of press releases being published that the E&amp;S forum does not agree with. The point was noted. Forum members to continue to watch this space.</p> <p>Jo noted the SmartGrowth Thank you function which was held in September. Good evening and Jo noted the awards the E&amp;S forum received.</p>
<p>Position Paper Matrix</p>		<p>Karen S provided an overview of the Position Paper response matrix. Initially six common themes were identified throughout the Position Papers which were being sent off to the three councils for their responses as per the matrix. Four additional themes have since been added – <i>Growth planning</i>,</p>

		<p><i>Environment sustainability, Community and Culture and Heritage</i> – these additional themes have now been sent to the partner councils. The completed response will come back in the new year followed by a presentation at a combined hui in march.</p> <p>Discussion followed around the matrix and if things are being done to the standard outlined in the position papers e.g. cycle ways.</p> <p>Discussion was had around the LTP and annual plan. It was noted submissions are due in April with hearings in May. It was noted that the Public Transport Blueprint was also one worth submitting on early in 2017.</p> <p>It was noted that the forum would like the detail around who councils are working with on the things they are already doing to be included in the response matrix. Outlining stakeholder engagement. I.e. what is being done and with who.</p> <p>Discussion also had around pre consultation. Karen noted Cheryl Steiner’s laypersons guide to planning framework that was presented at the joint hui earlier this year. There is an action for two more steps to be added which includes two initial consultation steps.</p> <p>Concerns were noted around how long things do take in the process.</p>
	ACTION	<p>Karen to follow up on request for stakeholder engagement information to be included <b>Post note:</b> Bernie Walsh has advised that because the matrix is already being filled out by the councils it cannot be added to the matrix table at this late stage however this information should come out as dialogue at the joint hui. Bernie has also raised this topic with Cheryl Steiner to see if she is able to help obtain this information as part of her work. It was noted that this is a significant piece of work so it is taking time.</p>
Te Tumu discussion		<p>Jo Wills introduced the topic advised that herself, Karen and Kate met with Cam Larking from TCC to discuss classifications and clarifications around Te tumu to get a clearer idea around how the land has been classified and how this was decided. Cam will speak to the forum about what we can influence in the planning at Te Tumu.</p> <p>Discussion was had around sea level rise: It was noted that the 0.8 sea level rise is too little. UoW professor has advised 1m maximum in the next 100 years. James Hughes confirmed 1m is the recommended level to be used for future planning.</p>

<p>Environment Considerations at Te Tumu</p>		<p>Cam Larking (TCC) and James Low (BOPRC) were present. Cam introduced the Te Tumu Strategic Planning Study advising that the primary purpose was to enable the councils and SmartGrowth to decide if Te Tumu is a financially viable area to develop. The outcome of the study was to identify any fatal flaws. Cam provided an outline of the constraints that were looked at during the study including all maps that were used.</p> <p>The study discovered that 45% of land is unconstrained.</p> <p>Cam advised 0.8 metre sea level rise was used as this was the required measurement at the time but when they carry out the structure plan they will use the required measurement at that time. Also, they will look at 110 years of sea level rise.</p> <p>Questions and discussion followed with key points around enhancement of the natural environment and resilience into the dunes. What could the forum influence?</p> <p>Cam advised no one is able to enter onto the land right now. Because of the nature of the constraints and while dealing with structure planning. Regulatory plans are already in place at this stage. Needs a joint partnership for the dune system.</p> <p>It was asked how the forum can influence the urban development of the area. Cam advised this is in the hands of the developers.</p> <p>It was noted that the Compact City principles will apply to Te Tumu around intensification and housing typologies. Placemaking. It was noted that housing is a big part of the E&amp;S forum.</p> <p>The question and answer session was cut short due time so it was agreed to forward any further questions through to Jo who will get responses from Cam.</p>
	<p>ACTION</p>	<p>Forward any further questions through to Jo who will get responses from Cam <b>post note:</b> a workshop has been arranged for Thursday 1 December to further discuss the Environment Considerations at Te Tumu.</p>

Meeting closed 3.25pm. Next meeting Tuesday 1<sup>st</sup> November 1.30-3.30pm, The Balcony Room, Historic Village, 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

**Environment and Sustainability Forum Outstanding Actions**

May	Jo to approach NZTA and invite a representative to join the forum.

## PAPER B

### Notes from E & S Forum meeting 1<sup>st</sup> December 2016, 2pm – 3.30pm

*Attended: Jo Wills, Glen Crowther, Mary Dillion, Eddie Orsulich, Janie Stevenson, Callum Barnett, Gray Southon, Paul Hickson, John Garwood, Julian Fritter, Karen Summerhays*

**The purpose of this meeting was to agree on a course of action (for the E & S Forum to take) regarding Te Tumu.**

Questions used to prompt discussion:

1. What do we still need to know
2. What are our main priorities
3. What do we hope to achieve/or think we can
4. Allocation of roles

Came about in discussion,

5. What are the things we can't change:
  - Tsunami risk
  - New Papapmoa (dunes) development
  - Te Tumu land development

Priorities discussed:

a. Dunes resilience, b. Removal of Pines, c. Wetlands, d. Transport, e. Connectivity, f. Place shaping

Outcomes of meetings discussion:

(Priorities a, b, c)

1. Recommendation to TCC to continually update sea level rise (SRL) estimation to trigger a review of constraints mapping.

- Mary Dillion, Eddie Orsulich to put together skeleton recommendation

2. Recommendation to BOP Regional Council to enable early enhancement of constrained areas (ecological areas), for consideration in the RPS 2017/18, including working with landowners (i.e. Jeff & Diane Ford).

Also consideration to best environmental practice principles for drainage reserves & storm water treatment, as well as bringing the wetlands up to RAMSAR status – a wetland of international importance

- Jullian Fritter & Mary Dillion to put together skeleton recommendation

(Priorities d, e, f)

3. Considering existing developments (i.e. The Lakes), compile list of 'what we don't like' for reference/influence in future sites.

- Jo Wills, Glen Crowther

4. Transport will be discussed in the January meeting, as this was identified as one of the key issues across all areas of development and needs to be considered as such.

January meeting areas of focus (17<sup>th</sup> Jan 2017):

1. The above recommendations 1 – 3 will be developed into a first draft (by those identified) for review by the full E & S forum at the January meeting with agreement of additional resources needed for presentation to SG Partners (timing of this tbc)

2. Transport (issues, opportunities, existing activities) to be discussed

- where does this fit within SG?

- is a particular focus/subcommittee needed?

## PAPER C

### Timeframes and Process for Position Paper responses<sup>1</sup>

Key tasks	Organisation	Key dates
Council information populated in Response Tables (first draft).	Partner Councils	End November 2016
Develop first cut of information. Further iterations as required - may require more information, resolve any conflicting information, add input from other key stakeholders.	SmartGrowth (and partner Councils)	October – December 2016.
Update on Response progress. Discuss and agree on proposed process and timeframes for reporting back.	IMG	1 November 2016
Update Forums on Position Paper Response Framework. Report on agreed process and timeframes for reporting back.	Forums	November 2016
Update on Response progress. Discuss proposed format for reporting back.	IMG	6 December 2016
Include reference to this process in general SmartGrowth overview (recognising that there are other post-election priorities for this meeting)	SGIC	14 December 2016
Include reference to this process in work programme and project planning discussion.	SGIC	15 February 2017
Present draft Response information (based on staff feedback).	SmartGrowth Strategic Group (formerly IMG)	27 February 2017
Workshop Response information with Councils.	TCC/WBOPDC	March 2017
Joint hui (format to be determined). Discuss Response information. Feedback on Response information. Identify further areas of action or interest from Forums.	Forums	March/April 2017
Workshop and discussion on Response information. Discuss Forum feedback. Identify areas of further work required.	SGIC workshop plus Forum chairs.	19 April 2017

<sup>1</sup> Agreed to at the 1 November 2016 IMG meeting and updated 14/12/2016 with confirmed meeting dates